## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

	JUL 12 2018
NORTH	EXISAN 2 2018
tsw.	Ostor Ver No
TIDNG	CALIFORNIA

United States of America,	Case No. CR 18-287 T8W CANAGO
Plaintiff,	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING ) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
EON SAMUEL PATTERSON, Defendant.	
Trial Act from 12, 2018, to sontinuance outweight he best interest of the sontinuance outweight he best interest o	record on 12, 2018, the Court excludes time under the Speedy 12, 2018 and finds that the ends of justice served by the he public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § ng and bases this continuance on the following factors:
Failure to grant a continu See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(	ance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. 7)(B)(I).
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreason	so complex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact able to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ts established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continu taking into account the ex	ance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, tercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continu given counsel's other schodiligence. See 18 U.S.C.	ance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, eduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continu necessary for effective properties and See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(	ance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time reparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.  7)(B)(iv).
For the reasons stated on 3161(b) and waived with 5.1(c) and (d).	the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § the consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
For the reasons stated on 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for delay	the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § y resulting from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Bon
DATED: 12, 2018	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney